NO ON J – REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR

The county supervisors, now in a panic, want you to believe their charter “reform” is a modern update expanding transparency and creating good governance. Actually, the charter “reform” is an attempt to maintain their ridiculously high compensation.

Measure J proposes elected supervisors be paid 80% of a Superior Court Judge’s salary. This unrelated number would actually result in a pay increase for two of three current supervisors!

That’s if they were to follow their own rules! Measure J contains a clause that only includes “...Supervisors who are elected...after the effective date of this section.” Five of five supervisors will have already been elected. If approved, Measure J would have no effect on their compensation nor their term limits.

Measure J is bad history repeating itself. In 2012, when ultimately faced with a 64% vote to rein in this same excessive compensation, supervisors placed a competing measure on the ballot to mislead citizens and evade the voters’ will.

It worked then, don’t let it work now.

It’s easy to see their intent by looking at their misrepresentations about the formation of their proposal.

They said this proposal went through multiple study workshops. It did not. They allege the public had opportunities to participate in the process. We did not. They’ve said this reform was the natural growth of a long process. Actually, it only appeared in response to the threat posed by Measure K.

Now is the time for more accountable government.

Vote NO on Measure [J].

s/ Tom Murphy, President, The Red Brennan Group
s/ Angelica Montoya, Business Owner
s/ David Friedman, Business Owner
s/ Sylvia Robles, Grand Terrace Council Member
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